

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,096	04/01/2005	Masashi Kudo	121036-0078	5558
7590 11/15/2006			EXAMINER	
Michael S Gzybowski			PENG, KUO LIANG	
Butzel Long 350 South Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sutie 300 Ann Arbor, MI 48104			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/530,096	KUDO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kuo-Liang Peng	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Anys	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MET AND THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MORE THE MORE THE MORE THE MET AND THE MORE THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/30/	06 Amendment				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	,—					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
_	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10,15-19 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
	Claim(s) 19 is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-10, 15-18, 32</u> is/are rejected.					
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		cicolon requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	i(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/530,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The Applicants' amendment filed on August 30, 2006 is acknowledged.

  Claims 1, 11-14, 20-31 and 33 deleted. Claims 2-5, 7-10, 15-18 and 32 amended.

  Now, Claims 2-10, 15-19 and 32 are pending.
- 2. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 103 in paragraph 10 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 052706) is/are removed.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in prior Office Action(s).

# Claim Objections

4. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claim 15 (last line), should "harnesses" be removed?

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2-5, 7-9, 15-18 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claims 2-5, it is not clear as to what Applicants intend to claim, i.e., a sealing material or an automobile engine cam cover.

In Claims 7-9, it is not clear as to what Applicant intend to claim, i.e., a sealing material or an automobile engine oil pan.

In Claims 15-18 and 32, it is not clear as to what Applicants intending to claim, i.e., a sealing material or an automobile wire harness.

### Double Patenting

7. Double patenting rejection of Claims 2-5, 7-9 and 15-18 is maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 of Paper No. 052706.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focus argument related to the core patentability is discussed below.

In view of the rejection set forth in the previous paragraph, the double patenting rejection is maintained.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 11, paragraphs 1-6), note that both the present invention and that of the copending Application claim materials. Note that "for vibration-absorbable mounts" in the copending Application is merely an intended use. In addition, unless Applicants can show otherwise, the present invention does not exclude the possibility of the material having the characteristics of the material in the copending Application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

8. Rejection of Claims 2-9 and 15-17 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by JP255 (JP 2000-154255) is maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 6 of Paper No. 052706. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focus argument related to the core patentability is discussed below.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 11, last paragraph to page 12, paragraph 4), in view of the rejection set forth in the paragraph 6, the instant rejection is maintained.

9. Rejection of Claims 18 and 32 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP255 is maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph

Application/Control Number: 10/530,096

Art Unit: 1712

8 of Paper No. 052706. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focus argument related to the core patentability is discussed below.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 12, paragraph 5), in view of the rejection set forth in the paragraph 6, the instant rejection is maintained.

10. Rejection of Claim 10 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez (US 4 394 853) in view of JP255 is maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 9 of Paper No. 052706.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 12, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph), note that the rejection is clearly described in Paper No. 052706, supra.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 19 is allowed.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

JP255 does not teach or fairly suggest an automobile wire harness sealed by a sealing material set forth in the instant claim.

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on

(571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

November 8, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712